

**Marcantonio, Laura**

---

**From:** MACC  
**Sent:** Thursday, 11 August 2016 9:09 AM  
**To:** #EDD, Executive; #ED, Executive Support  
**Cc:** Morgan, Anneliese; Cross, Narelle  
**Subject:** Assembly Business Thursday 11 August 2016 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Attachments:** DP Thurs 11 Aug 16.doc

Dear All

Please find attached the daily program for today's sitting, the last sitting for this Assembly. **There is no MPI**

Debate on the 2016-17 Appropriation Bill is continuing but CMTEDD was considered on Tuesday.

Please note the below questions on today's notice paper. Further advice will be provided directly to relevant line areas.

\*776 **MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister—

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.
- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.

- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

\*777 **MR COE:** To ask the Minister for Economic Development—

- (1) In relation to contract number 2013.18426 for the Panel of Commercial and Residential Property Agents, can the Minister list the commercial and residential agents currently on the Panel.
- (2) What was the total amount paid to each agent on the Panel for the (a) 2013-14, (b) 2014-15, (c) 2015-16 and (d) 2016-17 to date, financial years.
- (3) Of the amounts referred to in part (2), what percentage does each amount represent of the total spend under contract 2013.18426.
- (4) Have Panel members submitted invoices to a value in excess of \$25 000; if so, have those invoices been published on ACT Government Notifiable Invoices Register; if not, what is the reason for failing to publish those on the Register.
- (5) What criteria are used to determine which agent on the Panel will be allocated work under the contract.
- (6) When will contract 2013.18426 expire.
- (7) Will contract 2013.18426 be extended or will a new process be held to appoint agents to the Panel of Commercial and Residential Property Agents.

With many thanks

**Dorena Morris** | Manager, Ministerial, Assembly and Cabinet Coordination

Economic Development | Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government

☎ 02 6205 2701 | ✉ [dorena.morris@act.gov.au](mailto:dorena.morris@act.gov.au)

Level 7 TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Ave, Dickson | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au](http://www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au)

This message is intended for the recipient's use only. It may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If you receive this document in error, you must not use or disclose it or its contents. If you are not the intended recipient please contact the sender and dispose of the message.



Please consider the environment before printing this email

---

**From:** Darville, Pam

**Sent:** Thursday, 11 August 2016 8:28 AM

**To:** #CMTEDD, Cabinet and Policy; #CMTEDD, Assembly Business; #CMTEDD, ALO Network

**Subject:** Daily program for today Thursday 11 August 2016 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi all

See attached Daily Program for today Thursday 11 August 2016.

Cheers Pam

**Pam Darville | Manager - Government Business Coordination**

Phone: +61 2 6205 0543 | Mobile: 0418 881 990 | Email: [pam.darville@act.gov.au](mailto:pam.darville@act.gov.au)

Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government

Level 4 Canberra Nara Centre | London Circuit CANBERRA CITY | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.act.gov.au](http://www.act.gov.au)

**Marcantonio, Laura**

---

**From:** Pirani, Yuka  
**Sent:** Friday, 12 August 2016 3:50 PM  
**To:** MACC  
**Subject:** FW: FOR CLEARANCE BM16/2073 - QON 776 - Block 24 Section 65 City [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Attachments:** GOVERNMENT COORDINATION - Advice - Question on Notice 776 - Block 24 Section 65 City.tr5

Can I have your opinion? I will come around to talk to you.

It might be just me but  
 Performance Audit "of the Land Development Agency"

The wording in the media release from the audit office says:

*Land Development Agency Purchase of Selected Land and Businesses. This audit is examining the effectiveness of purchases by the Land Development Agency in accordance with relevant legislation, policies, procedures or any other requirements.*

Yuka Pirani | Executive Officer to David Dawes  
 Phone 02 6205 3143 | Fax 02 6205 0386  
 Land Development Agency | Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government  
 Level 7 TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Ave, Dickson | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au](http://www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** MACC  
**Sent:** Friday, 12 August 2016 2:55 PM  
**To:** Pirani, Yuka  
**Cc:** Bogiatzis, Patti; Hurrell, Erica  
**Subject:** FOR CLEARANCE BM16/2073 - QON 776 - Block 24 Section 65 City [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Yuka

Bm16/2073 is available on Trim for clearance.

With many thanks

Dorena Morris|Manager, Ministerial, Assembly and Cabinet Coordination Economic Development|Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate |ACT Government | 02 6205 2701| [dorena.morris@act.gov.au](mailto:dorena.morris@act.gov.au)  
 Level 7 TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Ave, Dickson | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au](http://www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au)

This message is intended for the recipient's use only. It may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If you receive this document in error, you must not use or disclose it or its contents. If you are not the intended recipient please contact the sender and dispose of the message.

P Please consider the environment before printing this email

-----Original Message-----

From: Crowe, Lindsay  
 Sent: Friday, 12 August 2016 2:47 PM  
 To: MACC  
 Cc: Marcantonio, Laura  
 Subject: FW: TRIM Context Notification - Action Reassigned. RESPONSE REQUIRED  
 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Good afternoon MACC,

This one is good to go - Noting motion number needs to be put in.

Many thanks,

Lindsay Crowe | Executive Assistant  
 Office of the Deputy Chief Executive, Land Development Agency; Deputy Director-General,  
 Land Development; and Coordinator-General, Urban Renewal  
 Ph: 02 6207 7353 | e: lindsay.crowe@act.gov.au Land Development Agency | Chief Minister,  
 Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government Level 7 TransACT House,  
 Dickson | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au

-----Original Message-----

From: Ponton, Ben  
 Sent: Friday, 12 August 2016 2:45 PM  
 To: Marcantonio, Laura  
 Cc: Crowe, Lindsay  
 Subject: FW: TRIM Context Notification - Action Reassigned. RESPONSE REQUIRED  
 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Thanks Laura - I've made a suggested edit as marked (I'll need you to add the Motion Number if known). Thanks. With that I'm happy for this to go.

Cheers,

B

Ben Ponton | Coordinator-General, Urban Renewal Deputy  
 Chief Executive, Land Development Agency Deputy Director-General, Land Development Phone  
 02 6205 7346 Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT  
 Government Level 6 TransACT House, Dickson | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 |  
 www.economicdevelopment.act.gov.au

-----Original Message-----

From: Marcantonio, Laura  
 Sent: Friday, 12 August 2016 1:27 PM  
 To: Ponton, Ben  
 Cc: Crowe, Lindsay  
 Subject: FW: TRIM Context Notification - Action Reassigned. RESPONSE REQUIRED

Hi Ben - this one was allocated to me for response. I have drafted my proposed response and would value your thoughts and/or clearance please.

Happy to discuss.

Thanks,

Laura.

-----Original Message-----

From: Records Manager [mailto:RecordsManager8.1@act.gov.au]  
Sent: Thursday, 11 August 2016 4:15 PM  
Subject: TRIM Context Notification - Action Reassigned. RESPONSE REQUIRED

BM16/2073

GOVERNMENT COORDINATION - Advice - Question on Notice 776 - Block 24 Section 65  
CityAction: RESPONSE REQUIRED Responsible Location: Marcantonio, Laura (Ms) Due Date:  
2016-08-18 at 11:40 This Action has been recently reassigned to you and is due to be  
started on 2016-08-12 at 11:40. Could you please ensure that it is completed by 2016-08-18  
at 11:40.

--

This E-mail Message has been automatically generated by TRIM Context (LDA Production).

File Edit View Search Tools Administration Window Help

123

Search For Search By Matching criteria

Records Record Number bml16/2073

Record Number	Title
bml16/2073	GOVERNMENT COORDINATION - Advice - Question on Notice 776 - Block 24 Section 65 City

Records

Workflows

Locations

Classifications

Schedules

Thesaurus Terms

Saved Searches

Templates

"Tuesday, 23 August 2016 at 12:33:21 PM (GMT-10:00) Morgan, Anneliese (Ms)"  
Edits made by Adviser

"Monday, 15 August 2016 at 9:50:30 AM (GMT-10:00) Beglaris, Patti (Ms)"  
Forwarded to Minister's office.

"Friday, 12 August 2016 at 2:55:11 PM (GMT-10:00) Morris, Dorena (Ms)"  
Forwarded to DG for clearance.

CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.



- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

(1)

**Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.**

**Andrew Barr MLA**  
**Chief Minister**

**Date:.....**

**CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY**

**LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION**

**Notice Paper of 11 August 2016**

**Question No.776**

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.

- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

- (1) The Auditor-General is currently conducting a Performance Audit of the Land Development Agency which is focussing on a number of land and business acquisitions, including Block 24 Section 65 City. It is therefore not considered appropriate or respectful to the Auditor-General's process in responding to these questions at this time.

**Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.**

**Andrew Barr MLA**  
**Chief Minister**

**Date:**.....

CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.



CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.

- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The substance of these questions was considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate of Motion moved by Mr Coe MLA on Wednesday the 10 August 2016.

In addition, the Auditor-General is currently conducting a Performance Audit of the Land Development Agency, which is focussing on a number of land and business acquisitions, including Block 24 Section 65 City. It is therefore not considered appropriate or respectful to the Auditor-General's process in responding to these questions at this time.

**Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.**

**Andrew Barr MLA**  
**Chief Minister**

**Date:.....**

**CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY**

**LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION**

**Notice Paper of 11 August 2016**

**Question No.776**

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.





CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

MR COE: To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.

- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The substance of these questions was considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate of Motion moved by Mr Coe MLA on Wednesday 10 August 2016.

In addition, the Auditor-General is currently conducting a Performance Audit of the Land Development Agency Purchase of Selected Land and Businesses, which is focussing on a number of land and business acquisitions, including Block 24 Section 65 City. It is therefore not considered appropriate or respectful to the Auditor-General's process in responding to these questions at this time.

<b>Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.</b>	
<b>Andrew Barr MLA</b> Chief Minister	Date:.....

**CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY**

**LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION**

**Notice Paper of 11 August 2016**

**Question No.776**

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.

- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The substance of these questions was considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate of Motion moved by Mr Coe MLA on Wednesday 10 August 2016.

In addition, the Auditor-General is currently conducting a Performance Audit of the Land Development Agency Purchase of Selected Land and Businesses, which is focussing on a number of land and business acquisitions, including Block 24 Section 65 City. It is therefore not considered appropriate or respectful to the Auditor-General's process in responding to these questions at this time.

**Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.**

**Andrew Barr MLA**  
**Chief Minister**

**Date:.....**

CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.

- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The substance of, and answers to, these questions were considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate of Motion moved by Mr Coe MLA on Wednesday 10 August 2016.

**Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.**

**Andrew Barr MLA**  
**Chief Minister**

**Date:.....**

CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776

MR COE: To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.
- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.



- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

*→, and answer to,*

The substance of these questions was considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate of Motion moved by Mr Coe MLA on Wednesday 10 August 2016.

In addition, the Auditor-General is currently conducting a Performance Audit of the Land Development Agency Purchase of Selected Land and Businesses, which is focussing on a number of land and business acquisitions, including Block 24 Section 65 City. It is therefore not considered appropriate or respectful to the Auditor-General's process in responding to these questions at this time.

<b>Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.</b>	
<b>Andrew Barr MLA</b> <b>Chief Minister</b>	<b>Date:.....</b>

CHIEF MINISTER  
FOR THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

COPY

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION

Notice Paper of 11 August 2016

Question No.776


**MR COE:** To ask the Chief Minister:

- (1) In relation to the block of land adjacent to Glebe Park (City Block 24, Section 65) and the valuations dated August 2014 and May 2015, what is the reason the higher of the two valuations was accepted.
- (2) Why was a third valuation not sought, given the large variance in the first two valuations referred to in part 1.
- (3) Why was a valuation based on residential development accepted despite the fact that the Government has stated that the site would not be used for such a purpose.
- (4) Why did the Government not pursue a compulsory acquisition through the *Lands Acquisition Act 1994*.
- (5) What are the details of the stated delegation allowing for the acquisition.
- (6) Had the former leaseholder complied with their previous investment requirements.
- (7) What was the date that the Minister or his office was first informed of the intention to purchase the block and the date the Minister or his office was advised that the purchase had been made.
- (8) What rights or options do Aquis have on the block.
- (9) What were the meeting dates where Aquis and the ACT Government or their representatives discussed development potential on this block.
- (10) What is the current policy document which is being used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (11) What policy document was in place at the time of the acquisition used to determine when the Land Acquisition Policy Framework applies and when business as usual acquisitions can be made.
- (12) What dates did the Land Development Agency (LDA) Board approve the policies listed in parts (10) and (11).
- (13) What is the evidence to support that the LDA can purchase land separate to the Land Acquisition Policy Framework.
- (14) What are the plans or concept design for the stormwater infrastructure.
- (15) What is the intended date to start construction of the stormwater infrastructure.
- (16) Can the Chief Minister provide the original City to the Lake Project Plan and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.

- (17) Can the Chief Minister provide the original or revised City to the Lake Project Plan which included City Block 24, Section 65 and the date it was approved by the LDA Board.
- (18) Was a probity adviser used in conjunction to purchase of the acquisition.
- (19) Were there any conflicts of interest perceived or real by staff or Board members relating to the acquisition that were declared or undeclared.
- (20) What date was the LDA Board presented with the two valuations for the block.
- (21) When did the Chief Financial Officer sign off on the purchase.
- (22) Can the Chief Minister provide information detailing the alignment of the purchase with ACT Planning Strategy or any other relevant Government strategic spatial planning documents.

**MR BARR:** The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

The substance of, and answers to, these questions were considered by the Legislative Assembly during debate on a motion moved by Mr Coe on 10 August 2016.

<b>Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.</b>	
 <b>Andrew Barr MLA</b> <b>Chief Minister</b>	<b>Date:</b> <i>4.7.16</i> .....