



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

Freedom of Information Disclosure Log Publication Coversheet

The following information is provided pursuant to section 28 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016*.

Application Details	
Ref. No.	CMTEDDFOI 2026-132
Date of Application	16 June 2026
Date of Decision	28 July 2026
Processing time (in working days)	30
Fees	Waived
Decision on Access	Partial Release
Information Requested (summary)	Information about act of grace payment and Operation Kingfisher.
Publication Details	
Original application	☒ Published ☐ N/A
Decision notice	☒ Published ☐ N/A
Documents and schedule	☒ Published ☐ N/A
Decision made by Ombudsman	N/A
Additional information identified by Ombudsman	N/A
Decision made by ACAT	N/A
Additional information identified by ACAT	N/A

From: [REDACTED]
To: [CMTEDD FOI](#)
Subject: Access Application under the Freedom of Information Act 2016 CMTEDDFOI 2026-132
Date: Tuesday, 16 June 2026 9:55:19 PM

Caution: This email originated from outside of the ACT Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

ATTN: CMTEDD FOI Coordinator

Please accept the following as an access application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016*.

I request access to an electronic copy of any government information held by any government agency relating to an act of grace payment connected with the ACT Integrity Commission that involves any of the following:

Operation Kingfisher

[REDACTED]

Manteena Group, or any related entity of Manteena Group

Master Builders Association.

The scope of this request includes any correspondence, in any form, from the ACT Integrity Commission or Commissioner or any staff of the Commission relating to an act of grace payment.

The scope of this access application extends to government information in any form including, without limitation, documents of any kind; file notes, diary entries and similar; emails; text messages; instant/chat messages (e.g. via MS Teams); meeting and other conversation records.



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

Our ref: CMTEDDFOI 2026-132

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST – NOTICE OF DECISION

I refer to your application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016* (the Act), received by the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate (CMTEDD) on 16 June 2026.

Specifically, you have sought access to the following information:

Please accept the following as an access application under section 30 of the Freedom of Information Act 2016.

I request access to an electronic copy of any government information held by any government agency relating to an act of grace payment connected with the ACT Integrity Commission that involves any of the following:

Operation Kingfisher



Manteena Group, or any related entity of Manteena Group

Master Builders Association.

The scope of this request includes any correspondence, in any form, from the ACT Integrity Commission or Commissioner or any staff of the Commission relating to an act of grace payment.

The scope of this access application extends to government information in any form including, without limitation, documents of any kind; file notes, diary entries and similar; emails; text messages; instant/chat messages (e.g. via MS Teams); meeting and other conversation records.

Authority

I am an Information Officer appointed by the CMTEDD Director-General under section 18 of the Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the Act.

Timeframes

In accordance with section 40 of the Act, CMTEDD is required to provide a decision on your access application within 30 days.

Therefore, a decision is due by **28 July 2026**.

Decision on access

The ACT Integrity Commission was to decide on information in scope of this application that is held by them. This was done in accordance with section 58 of the Act.

Searches within CMTEDD records have identified 67 documents in scope of your request.

I have decided to grant **full access** to six documents.

I have decided to grant **partial access** to 31 documents.

I have decided to grant **no access (nondisclosure)** to 30 documents.

The records identified as relevant to your application are listed in the schedule enclosed at **Attachment A**. This provides a description of each document that falls within the scope of your request and the access decision for each of those documents.

Release of documents

The information being released to you is provided at **Attachment B**

Statement of Reasons

In accordance with section 54(2) of the Act a statement of reasons outlining my decisions is below. In reaching my access decisions, I have taken the following into account:

- the Act
- the information that falls within the scope of your request
- ACT Ombudsman Freedom of Information Guidelines
- *Human Rights Act 2004* (HR Act)
- *Integrity Commission Act 2018* (IC Act)
- *Information Privacy Act 2014* (IP Act)
- *Financial Management Act 1996* (FMA), including s 130
- ACT Ombudsman's Freedom of Information Guidelines (FOI Guidelines)
- Policy Document: [Act of Grace Policy and Procedures guide](#)

As a decision maker, I am required to determine whether the information within scope is in the public interest to release. To make this decision, I am required to:

- assess whether the information would be contrary to public interest to disclose as per **Schedule 1** of the Act.
- perform the public interest test as set out in section 17 of the Act by balancing the factors favouring disclosure and factors favouring nondisclosure in **Schedule 2** of the Act.

Exemptions claimed

Schedule 1: Information taken to be contrary to the public interest

My reasons for deciding not to grant access to the information or components of information found are as follows:

- *Section 1.2 - Information subject to legal professional privilege.*
- *Section 1.3 - Information disclosure of which is prohibited under law.*

Some of the information as identified in the schedule, contains documents that are either fully or partially composed of information that is considered to be contrary to the public interest information under **Schedule 1, section 1.2** of the Act as it is information that is deemed privileged under Legal Professional Privilege (LPP). This information can only be released if the parties involved agree to waive that privilege. The parties have not waived privilege.

Some information concerning the identity of Act of Grace (AoG) payment recipients was also found within scope of your request and is considered to be contrary to the public interest under **Schedule 1, section 1.3**:

(6) Any other information the disclosure of which is prohibited by a secrecy provision of a law.

(7) In this section:

secrecy provision—a provision of a law is a secrecy provision if it;

(a) applies to information obtained in the exercise of a function under the law; and

(b) prohibits people mentioned in the provision from disclosing the information, whether the prohibition is absolute or subject to stated exceptions or qualifications

The *Information Privacy Act 2014* (IP Act), Territory Privacy Principle (TPP) 6 states:

*If a public sector agency holds personal information about an individual that was collected for a particular purpose (the **primary purpose**), the agency must not use or disclose the information for another purpose (the **secondary purpose**) unless—*

(a) the individual has consented to the use or disclosure of the information; or

(b) TPP 6.2 or TPP 6.3 applies in relation to the use or disclosure of the information.

Section 20 of the IP Act stipulates that ‘a public sector agency must not do an act, or engage in a practice, that breaches a TPP’.

Information of individuals was collected for the primary purpose related to functions of the ACT Integrity Commission, including AoG payment recipients related to those functions. Release of this information subsequent to the primary purpose would be a secondary purpose.

The ACT Integrity Commission operates under the *Integrity Commission Act 2018* (IC Act), which has its own secrecy provisions. While your request concerns information that is administrative in nature, consideration was given to protecting information that is reasonably likely to identify parties to matters before the ACT Integrity Commission.

Importantly, your request relates to an AoG payment. Applications for AoG payments are made under section 130 of the *Financial Management Act 1996* (FMA). Section 130(10) states:

130 Act of grace payments

(10) The notes relating to a payment under this section must not disclose the identity of the payee unless disclosure was agreed to by the payee as a condition of authorising the payment.

I have decided to refuse access to information where it is contrary to the public interest information under **Schedule 1, 1.3**. The names of parties involved in the application for an AoG have also been redacted. Some of the contextual information within the documents is reasonably likely to identify witnesses and the recipient of an act of grace payment and accordingly, I have decided that this information is contrary to the public interest information and is exempt under **Schedule 1, 1.3**.

Public Interest Test

The Act has a presumption in favour of disclosure. As a decision maker I am required to decide where, on balance, public interest lies. As part of this process, I must consider factors favouring disclosure and nondisclosure.

In *Hogan v Hinch* (2011) 243 CLR 506, [31] French CJ stated that when ‘used in a statute, the term [public interest] derives its content from “the subject matter and the scope and

purpose” of the enactment in which it appears’. Section 17(1) of the Act sets out the test, to be applied to determine whether disclosure of information would be contrary to the public interest. These factors are found in subsection 17(2) and Schedule 2 of the Act.

Schedule 2: Factors to be considered when deciding the public interest

Taking into consideration the information within scope of your request, I have identified that the following public interest factors are relevant to determine if release of the information contained within these documents is within the ‘public interest’.

Factors favouring disclosure (Section 2.1)

- *Section 2.1(a)(i) - promote open discussion of public affairs and enhance the government’s accountability.*
- *Section 2.1(a)(ii) - contribute to positive and informed debate on important issues or matters of public interest.*
- *Section 2.1(a)(iii) - inform the community of the government’s operations, including policies, guidelines and codes of conduct followed by the government in its dealings with members of the community.*
- *Section 2.1(a)(iv) - ensure effective oversight of expenditure of public funds.*
- *Section 2.1(a)(vii) - advance the fair treatment of individuals and other entities in accordance with the law in their dealings with the government.*
- *Section 2.1(a)(viii) - reveal the reason for a government decision and any background or contextual information that informed the decision.*

You have requested information related to Act of Grace (AoG) payments. As stated in the [Act of Grace Policy and Procedures guide](#), the authority for AoG payments is provided by section 130 of the *Financial Management Act 1996* (FMA). The FMA provides statutory authority for AoG payment so that there is a lawful basis for the expenditure of public money where it is not otherwise authorised by law.

I have decided to release policy and procedural information that relates to AoG payment applications. I consider that this would reasonably contribute to informing the community of policies and procedures implemented for the expenditure of public funds in special circumstances in addition to informed debate on the processes of AoG payments. I afford this factor for disclosure moderate weight.

I have also considered the factors for disclosure including advancing the fair treatment of individuals in accordance with the law in their dealings with government and revealing the reason for a government decision. I find little weight in release of information concerning AoG payee identities, however, there may be some weight in the release of this information in terms of contextual information (policy).

I am satisfied that these factors favouring disclosure carry some weight. However, these factors are to be balanced against the factors favouring nondisclosure.

I am satisfied that these factors favouring disclosure carry some weight. However, these factors are to be balanced against the factors favouring nondisclosure.

Factors favouring nondisclosure (Section 2.2)

- *Section 2.2(a)(ii) - prejudice the protection of an individual’s right to privacy or any other right under the Human Rights Act 2004.*
- *Section 2.2(a)(iv) - impede the administration of justice generally, including*

procedural fairness.

- *Section 2.2(a)(ix) - prejudice the flow of information to the police or another law enforcement or regulatory agency.*
- *Section 2.2(a)(xi) - prejudice trade secrets, business affairs or research of an agency or person.*
- *Section 2.2(a)(xii) - prejudice an agency's ability to obtain confidential information.*
- *Section 2.2(a)(xiv) - prejudice the conduct of considerations, investigations, audits or reviews by the ombudsman, auditor-general, integrity commission, integrity commission inspector or human rights commission.*
- *Section 2.2(a)(xvi) - prejudice a deliberative process of government.*

I have withheld some information as it contained personal information, such as photographs of people's faces, names, mobile numbers and email addresses that may identify individuals. Having reviewed the information, I consider that the protection of an individual's right to privacy, is a significant factor for nondisclosure. Release of information concerning individual employees who are working within the ACT Public Service is generally not considered to prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy. However, where mobile phone numbers may be used for home and work purposes, this information is redacted, as it could or would reasonably be expected to prejudice an individual's right to privacy under the *Human Rights Act 2004*.

Recipients of AoG payments are entitled to procedural fairness in addition to their details remaining free from disclosure. As noted in the *Financial Management Act 1996* (FMA), including s 130, "The FMA prohibits the disclosure of details in relation to the payee, unless disclosure was agreed to by the payee as a condition of the Treasurer authorising the payment". On balance against public interest, I weight these factors significantly.

I have considered the flow of information between an entity and a regulatory agency such as the ACT Integrity Commission. I have found in this case, that there were legal obligations relating to information collection (information was not given on a voluntary basis) and as such weight this factor lightly. However, information held by the Integrity Commission is out of scope from this request, in addition to being exempt under schedule 1 of the Act.

I have also considered the impact of disclosing information which relates to business affairs. In the case of *Re Mangan and The Treasury* [2005] AATA 898 the term 'business affairs' was interpreted as meaning 'the totality of the money-making affairs of an organisation or undertaking as distinct from its private or internal affairs'. Schedule 2 section 2.2(a)(xi) allows for government information to be withheld from release if disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to prejudice the trade secrets, business affairs or research of an agency or person.

The ACT Government frequently deals with businesses and while business information is subject to information access legislation, third parties should not be adversely affected by the operation of the FOI Act. I have considered that some third-party information could cause harm to the third party if disclosed. I weight this factor significantly.

I am satisfied that it is contrary to the public interest to redact material where it may identify recipients of an AoG payment, in circumstances where you are seeking to publicly identify witnesses appearing before the ACT Integrity Commission in circumstances where this is contrary to the Territory Privacy Principles.

Schedule 2, section 2.2(a)(xiv) of the Act provides for the nondisclosure of information that could prejudice the conduct of considerations, investigations, audits or reviews by bodies including the Integrity Commission. Some information that I have examined could interfere with an investigation. I weight this factor significantly.

A deliberative process involves the weighing up or evaluation of arguments or considerations related to a process that is being undertaken within government to consider whether and how to make or implement a decision [*Public Transport Association of Canberra Inc and Transport Canberra and City Services* [2025] ACTOFOI 4 (4 April 2025), citing *Re JE Waterford and Department of Treasury (No 2)* [1984] AATA 67 (14 March 1984) at [58]]. For the factor to apply, the information must also be reasonably expected to prejudice such a process, in other words, some sort of harm to the deliberations could occur if the information was disclosed. Relevant considerations when considering whether prejudice could reasonably be expected to occur includes whether the information sought is already publicly known, the stage of the deliberations, whether a final decision has been reached, and whether there are any ongoing negotiations occurring.

I am satisfied that information within scope of your request, including correspondence, briefing papers and draft letters contain sensitive confidential business information of the Territory and third parties that is reasonably likely to be prejudicial to the deliberative process, and as noted in the report: “The recommendations agreed to in principle will require further consideration and consultation with key stakeholders.”

Having applied the test outlined in section 17 of the Act and deciding that release of some information contained in the documents is not in the public interest to release, I have chosen to redact this specific information in accordance with section 50(2). Noting the pro-disclosure intent of the Act, I am satisfied that redacting only the information that I believe is not in the public interest to release will ensure that the intent of the Act is met and will provide you with access to the majority of the information held by CMTEDD within the scope of your request.

Having applied the test outlined in section 17 of the Act and deciding that release of some information contained in the documents is not in the public interest to release, I have chosen to redact this specific information in accordance with section 50(2). Noting the pro-disclosure intent of the Act, I am satisfied that redacting only the information that I believe is not in the public interest to release will ensure that the intent of the Act is met and will provide you with access to the majority of the information held by CMTEDD within the scope of your request.

Charges

Processing charges are applicable for this request because the total number of pages to be released to you exceeds the charging threshold of 50 pages. However, the charges have been waived.

Online publishing – Disclosure Log

Under section 28 of the Act, CMTEDD maintains an online record of access applications called a [disclosure log](#).

Your original access application and my decision will be published on the CMTEDD disclosure log. Your personal contact details will not be published.

Ombudsman Review

My decision on your access request is a reviewable decision as identified in Schedule 3 of the Act. You have the right to seek Ombudsman review of this outcome under section 73 of the Act within 20 working days from the day that my decision is provided to you, or a longer period allowed by the Ombudsman.

We recommend using this form [Applying for an Ombudsman Review](#) to ensure you provide all of the required information. Alternatively, you may write to the Ombudsman at:

The ACT Ombudsman
GPO Box 442
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Via email: actfoi@ombudsman.gov.au

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) Review

Under section 84 of the Act, if a decision is made under section 82(1) on an Ombudsman review, you may apply to the ACAT for review of the Ombudsman decision. Further information may be obtained from the ACAT at:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal
GPO Box 370
Canberra City ACT 2601
Telephone: (02) 6207 1740
<http://www.acat.act.gov.au/>

Should you have any queries in relation to your request please contact the CMTEDD FOI Team by telephone on 6207 7754 or email CMTEDDFOI@act.gov.au.

Yours sincerely



Katharine Stuart
Information Officer
Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate

28 July 2026



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST SCHEDULE

WHAT ARE THE PARAMETERS OF THE REQUEST

Reference No.

Please accept the following as an access application under section 30 of the Freedom of Information Act 2016.

I request access to an electronic copy of any government information held by any government agency relating to an act of grace payment connected with the ACT Integrity Commission that involves any of the following:

Operation Kingfisher

*Manteena Group, or any related entity of Manteena Group
Master Builders Association.*

The scope of this request includes any correspondence, in any form, from the ACT Integrity Commission or Commissioner or any staff of the Commission relating to an act of grace payment.

The scope of this access application extends to government information in any form including, without limitation, documents of any kind; file notes, diary entries and similar; emails; text messages; instant/chat messages (e.g. via MS Teams); meeting and other conversation records.

CMTEDDFOI 2026-132

Ref No	Page number	Description	Date	Status	Reason for Exemption	Online Release Status
Binder 1 – Integrity Commission Information						
1	1-2	Email chain, subject: FW: Act of Grace Payment for ...	13/06/2024- 05/08/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	Yes
2	3-5	Email chain, subject: FW: Act of Grace Payment - ...	07/08/2024- 09/08/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi)	Yes
3	6-9	Email chain, subject: FW: Act of Grace Payment for ...	07/08/2024- 09/08/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
4	10-12	Email chain, subject: FW: Act of Grace Payment	07/08/2026- 08/08/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2 Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii)	No
5	13-18	Email chain, subject: FW: ... Act of Grace Request ...	07/08/2024- 13/09/2024	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.3	No
6	19-21	Email chain, subject: FW: ACTIC - Act of Grace Request	17/09/2024- 19/03/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ix) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv)	Yes

7	22-24	Email chain, subject: RE: ... Costs Payment ...	03/04/2025-07/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
8	25-26	Email chain, subject: RE: ... Costs Payment ...	07/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
9	27-28	Letter	19/03/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
10	29-30	Email, subject: Act of Grace Payment ...	13/06/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ix) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	Yes
11	31-34	Email chain, subject: Subject: RE: Act of Grace Payment -	07/08/2024-09/08/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi)	Yes
12	35-38	Tax Invoice and account of third party	29/02/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
13	39-43	Form 2.45 (<i>Court Procedure Rules 2006</i>) – Bill of Costs	undated	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
14	44	Tax Invoice of third party	04/10/2023	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
15	45	Remittance advice	04/10/2023	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
16	46-48	Email chain, subject: Subject: RE: Act of Grace Payment - ...	09/08/2024	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.3	No
17	49-51	Email chain, subject: RE: Act of Grace Payment - ...	07/08/2024-08/08/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2 Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii)	No
18	52-53	Email, subject: ACTIC - Act of Grace Request -...	17/09/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ix)	
19	54-55	Email chain, subject: FW: ... - Costs payment -...	04/04/2025	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
20	56-57	Email chain, subject: RE: ... - Costs payment -...	09/01/2025-07/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
21	58-59	Letter	30/07/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
22	60-61	Letter	06-04/2025	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No

23	62-63	Email, subject: Act-of-grace payment ...	0611/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3	No
24	64-69	Email chain, subject: FW: Act of Grace Payment -	07/08/2024- 13/09/2024	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.3	No
Binder 2						
25	1-3	Email chain, subject: RE: act of grace request (AOG)	30/04/2024- 24/05/2026	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
26	4-6	Email chain, subject: RE: act of grace request (AOG)	30/04/2024- 29/05/2024	Partial	copy Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
27	7	Copy of messages	05/06/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
28	8-9	Email chain, subject: FW: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 25/06/2024	Full		Yes
29	10-11	Email chain, subject: FW: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 26/06/2024	Full	copy	Yes
30	12-14	Email chain, subject: RE: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 27/06/2024	Partial	copy Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	Yes
31	15-17	Email chain, subject: RE: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 27/06/2024	Partial	copy Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
32	18-20	Email chain, subject: RE: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 27/06/2024	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.2	No
33	21-24	Email chain, subject: RE: For Review and Comment: Legal Assistance and Act of Grace Payment in Integrity Commission Matter	21/06/2024- 09/07/2024	Partial	copy Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
34	25	Email, subject: FW: Ready for DDG Clearance : See DDG Queries CMTEDD2024/2865 : Ministerial Brief - Act of Grace Payment for Non-Government Witness legal expenses at the Integrity Commission	10/07/2024	Partial	Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
35	26-27	Email chain, subject: Fwd: Copy of brief	15/07/2024- 17/07/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
36	28-29	Email chain, subject: Fwd: Copy of brief	15/07/2024- 17/07/2024	Full	copy	Yes
37	30-31	Email chain, subject: Fwd: Copy of brief	15/07/2024- 26/07/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
38	32-35	Email chain, subject: RE: report of all upcoming briefs and corro	16/04/2024- 22/08/2024	Partial	Outside scope Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
39	36-39	Email chain, subject: RE: report of all upcoming briefs and corro	16/04/2024- 29/08/2024	Partial	Outside scope Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes

40	40	Email chain, subject: FW: FOI Request - Information Brief titles - Request for act of grace payment	10/09/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
41	41-42	Email chain, subject: FW:... Act of Grace Request ...	17/09/2024	Partial	copy Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
42	43-44	Email chain, subject: RE: HPRM Notification - Action Made Current. Clearance through UT - CMTEDD2024/1480 – Ministerial Correspondence - ... Act of Grace Application	28/01/2025-31/01/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
43	45	Email chain, subject: FW: CMTEDD2024/1480: GOVERNMENT & STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS - Government & Assembly Matters - Ministerial Brief & Corres ...	07/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
44	46-51	Draft Brief of 24/06/2024	undated	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(iv) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv)	Yes
45	52-53	Attachment – Draft letter	undated	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	Yes
46	54-59	Draft Brief of 24/06/2024 with track changes	undated	Refused	Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	No
47	60-62	Attachment – Draft letter with track changes	undated	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	No
48	63-69	Copy of Act of Grace payments – policy and procedures guide (pages 2-8)	June 2022	Full		Yes
49	70-71	Attachment – Draft letter with track changes	undated	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	No
50	72-77	Attachment – Draft brief of 24/06/2024 with track changes	undated	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.2	No
51	78-79	Attachment – Draft letter with track changes	undated	Refused	copy Sch 1, s 1.2	No
52	80	Email, subject: FW: Ready for DDG Clearance : See DDG Queries CMTEDD2024/2865 : Ministerial Brief – Act of Grace Payment for Non-Government Witness legal expenses at the Integrity Commission	10/07/2024	Partial	Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii)	Yes
53	81-88	Attachment – Draft brief with track changes	undated	Refused	Sch1, s 1.2 Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi) Sch 2, s2.2(b)(iv)	No
54	89-90	Attachment – Draft letter with track changes	undated	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xvi)	No

55	91-96	Attachment – Draft brief	undated	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(iv) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xiv)	Yes
Binder 3						
56	1-2	Email chain, subject: FW: CONFIDENTIAL: Correspondence ...	19/03/2024- 08/04/2024	Partial	copy Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
57	3-5	Email chain, subject: FW: act of grace request (AOG)	30/04/2024- 23/05/2024	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
58	6	Email, subject: Extension for 24/1480	16/07/2024	Full		Yes
59	7-8	Brief Attachment F - research	undated	Full		Yes
60	9-18	Signed brief – Request for Act of Grace Payment	06/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(ii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii)	Yes
61	19	Instrument - S 130(1)	06/04/2025	Partial	Sch 1, s 1.3	Yes
Binder 4						
62	1-10	Legal advice	02/9/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2	No
63	11	Email – provision of legal advice	13/12/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2	No
64	12-15	Legal advice	13/12/2004	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2	No
65	16-18	Email chain, on legal advice	04/06/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii)	No
66	19-21	Email chain, on legal advice	04/06/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2 Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xi) Sch 2, s 2.2(a)(xii)	No
67	22-24	Request for legal assistance	12/08/2024	Refused	Sch 1, s 1.2	No
Total No of Docs						
67						