



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

Freedom of Information Publication Coversheet

The following information is provided pursuant to section 28 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016*.

FOI Reference: CMTEDDFOI 2021-220

Information to be published	Status
1. Access application	Published
2. Decision notice	Published
3. Documents and schedule	Published
4. Additional information identified	No
5. Fees	N/A
6. Processing time (in working days)	35
7. Decision made by Ombudsman	N/A
8. Additional information identified by Ombudsman	N/A
9. Decision made by ACAT	N/A
10. Additional information identified by ACAT	N/A

From: [Paliaga, Nicolas](#) on behalf of [CMTEDD FOI](#)
To: [TCCS_FreedomOfInformation](#)
Subject: FW: Freedom of Information request
Date: Wednesday, 4 August 2021 10:49:09 AM

OFFICIAL

Hi Sam,
As discussed please advise if TCCS accept full carriage of the below.
Thank you!
Kind regards,
Nic

Nicolas Paliaga | Freedom of Information, Reporting and Privacy Administrator | Information Access Team
Phone: 620 79267 | Email: Nicolas.Paliaga@act.gov.au
Corporate Management | Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government
Level 5, 220 London Circuit, Canberra City | GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601 | act.gov.au



Please consider our environment before printing this e-mail.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 3 August 2021 6:09 PM
To: CMTEDD FOI <CMTEDDFOI@act.gov.au>
Subject: Freedom of Information request

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the ACT Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Please find online enquiry details below. Please ensure this enquiry is responded to within fourteen working days.

Your details

All fields are optional, however an email address OR full postal address must be provided for us to process your request. An email address and telephone contact number will assist us to contact you quickly if we need to discuss your request.

Title:

First Name:

Last Name:

Business/Organisation:

Address:

Suburb:

Postcode:

State/Territory:

Phone/mobile:

Email address:

Request for information

(Please provide as much detail as possible, for example subject matter and relevant dates, and also provide details of documents that you are not interested in.)

Under the Freedom of Information Act 2016 I want

All documents (including emails and internal office chats) related to the implementation of the 40 km/hr speed camera installation

to access the following
document/s (*required
field):

on Northbourne/Barry Drive. This includes the prior two week
trial and any decisions coming out of this.

I do not want to access the
following documents in
relation to my request::

Freedom of Information Coordinator



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST SCHEDULE

WHAT ARE THE PARAMETERS OF THE REQUEST	Reference NO.
Documents pertaining to the following in relation to the revised speed limit of 40Km/h in Northbourne Avenue and Barry Drive: <ul style="list-style-type: none">The decision around the locations of the cameras towards the edges away from pedestrian and near the changeover speeds limitsThe plan of actions taken during the grace period without issuing any warningsAny discussion around the timing of implementation noting the financial stresses for individuals during this period	CMTEDDFOI 2021-220

Ref No	Page number	Description	Date	Status	Reason for Exemption	Online Release Status
1	1-3	E-mail - Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements	28-29 June 2021	Partial	Sch 2 s2.2 (a)(ii)	Yes
2	4-12	Brief – Commencement of 40km/h speed zone enforcement along Northbourne Avenue	29 June 2021	Partial	Out of Scope	Yes
3	13-14	E-mail - Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements	28 June 2021	Partial	Sch 2 s2.2 (a)(ii)	Yes
Total No of Docs						
3						



ACT
Government

Chief Minister, Treasury and
Economic Development

Our ref: CMTEDDFOI 2021-220



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

I refer to your application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016* (the Act), received by the Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate (CMTEDD) on 24 August 2021, in which you sought access to documents pertaining to the speed limit change to 40 Km/h around Northbourne Avenue and Barry Drive.

Specifically, you are seeking: Documents relating to the following:

- The decision around the locations of the cameras towards the edges away from pedestrian and near the changeover speeds limits.
- The plan of actions taken during the grace period without issuing any warnings.
- Any discussion around the timing of implementation noting the financial stresses for individuals during this period.

Authority

I am an Information Officer appointed by the Director-General under section 18 of the Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the Act.

Timeframes

In accordance with section 40 of the Act, CMTEDD is required to provide a decision on your access application by 13 October 2021.

Decision on access

Searches were completed for relevant documents and **three (3)** documents were identified that fall within the scope of your request.

I have included as **Attachment A** to this decision the schedule of relevant documents. This provides a description of each document that falls within the scope of your request and the access decision for each of those documents.

Third party consultation

In determining this access request, I identified that some of the information may reasonably be expected to be of concern to a third party. In accordance with section 38 of the Act, I have undertaken third party consultation. I have considered the contentions raised by the third party in making this decision.

In accordance with section 54(2) of the Act a statement of reasons outlining my decision is below.

Material Considered

In reaching my access decisions, I have taken the following into account:

- the Act,
- the content of the documents that fall within the scope of your request,
- the contentions of relevant third parties, and
- the Human Rights Act 2004.

Exemption claimed

I have decided to grant partial access to all three documents and have denied access to part of one document as that falls outside the scope of your request.

My reasons for deciding not to grant full access to the identified documents and components of those documents are mentioned below:

Public Interest

The Act has a presumption in favour of disclosure. As a decision maker I am required to decide where, on balance, public interest lies. As part of this process, I must consider factors favouring disclosure and non-disclosure.

In *Hogan v Hinch* (2011) 243 CLR 506, [31] French CJ stated that when 'used in a statute, the term [public interest] derives its content from "the subject matter and the scope and purpose" of the enactment in which it appears'. Section 17(1) of the Act sets out the test, to be applied to determine whether disclosure of information would be contrary to the public interest. These factors are found in subsection 17(2) and Schedule 2 of the Act.

Taking into consideration the information contained in the documents found to be within the scope of your request, I have identified that the following public interest factors are relevant to determine if release of the information is within the 'public interest'.

Factors favouring disclosure in the public interest:

(a) disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to do any of the following:

(ii) contribute to positive and informed debate on important issues or matters of public interest.

(viii) reveal the reason for a government decision and any background or contextual information that informed the decision

I consider that disclosing the contents of the information sought would contribute to open discussion and informed debate on the matters pertaining to road safety and enforcement.

Factors favouring nondisclosure in the public interest

- (a) *disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to do any of the following:*
- (ii) *prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy or any other right under the Human Rights Act 2004.*

I consider that the protection of an individual's right to privacy, especially during dealings with the ACT Government, is a significant factor. The parties involved have provided their personal contact information for the purposes of working with the ACT Government, and in considering this information it is my opinion that the protection of these individuals' personal details (such as emails addresses and personal phone numbers which are not publicly available) outweighs the benefit which may be derived from releasing them. I consider that these individuals are entitled to expect that the personal information they have supplied as part of this process to the ACT Government will be dealt with in a manner that protects their privacy.

Additionally, I have considered the contact details of ACT Public Servants which appear in the documents. I have decided to remove the personal mobile phone numbers of these individuals. I am satisfied that there is no public interest in releasing this information as key information about these individuals and their work contact details are still available.

Considering the type of information to be withheld from release, I am satisfied that the factors in favour of release can still be met while protecting the personal information of the individuals involved. I therefore weight the factor for non-disclosure more highly than the factor in favour of release in this instance. As a result, I have decided that release of this information (names of individuals not employed by the ACT Public Service and their address, phone numbers and email; and personal mobile numbers of ACT Public Servants) could prejudice their right to privacy under the *Human Rights Act 2004*.

Charges

Pursuant to *Freedom of Information (Fees) Determination 2018* processing charges are not applicable for this request because the total number of pages to be released is less than the charging threshold of 50 pages.

Online publishing – Disclosure Log

Under section 28 of the Act, CMTEDD maintains an online record of access applications called a disclosure log. Your original access application, my decision and documents released to you in response to your access application will be published on the CMTEDD disclosure log between 3-10 working days after the date of my decision. Your personal contact details will not be published. You may view CMTEDD disclosure log at: [Disclosure Log 2021 - Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate \(act.gov.au\)](https://act.gov.au/disclosure-log-2021-chief-minister-treasury-and-economic-development-directorate)

Ombudsman Review

My decision on your access request is a reviewable decision as identified in Schedule 3 of the Act. You have the right to seek Ombudsman review of this outcome under section 73 of the Act within 20 working days from the day that my decision is published in CMTEDD disclosure log, or a longer period allowed by the Ombudsman.

We recommend using this form [Applying for an Ombudsman Review](#) to ensure you provide all of the required information. Alternatively, you may write to the Ombudsman at:

The ACT Ombudsman

GPO Box 442

CANBERRA ACT 2601

Via email: actfoi@ombudsman.gov.au

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) Review

Under section 84 of the Act, if a decision is made under section 82(1) on an Ombudsman review, you may apply to the ACAT for review of the Ombudsman decision. Further information may be obtained from the ACAT at:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal

Level 4, 1 Moore St

GPO Box 370

Canberra City ACT 2601

Telephone: (02) 6207 1740

<http://www.acat.act.gov.au/>

Should you have any queries in relation to your request please contact me by telephone on 02 6207 7754 or email CMTEDDFOI@act.gov.au.

Yours sincerely,



Katharine Stuart

Information Officer

Information Access Team

Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate

13 October 2021

From: [Muir, Richard](#)
To: [Mangeruca, Giuseppe](#)
Cc: [Rynehart, Josh](#); [Laundess, Daniel](#); [McPherson, Alex](#)
Subject: RE: Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements
Date: Tuesday, 29 June 2021 12:47:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Thanks for that Giuseppe – it's very helpful and I appreciate it.

Talk soon!

Rick.

From: Mangeruca, Giuseppe <Giuseppe.Mangeruca@act.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 29 June 2021 9:29 AM
To: Muir, Richard <Richard.Muir@act.gov.au>
Cc: Rynehart, Josh <Josh.Rynehart@act.gov.au>; Laundess, Daniel <Daniel.Laundess@act.gov.au>; McPherson, Alex <Alex.McPherson@act.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Hi Rick

I have responded to your question below.

Giuseppe

From: Muir, Richard <Richard.Muir@act.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 28 June 2021 5:17 PM
To: Mangeruca, Giuseppe <Giuseppe.Mangeruca@act.gov.au>
Cc: Rynehart, Josh <Josh.Rynehart@act.gov.au>; Laundess, Daniel <Daniel.Laundess@act.gov.au>; McPherson, Alex <Alex.McPherson@act.gov.au>
Subject: Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Hi Giuseppe,

Thanks for the chat earlier – much appreciated.

Following on from that, there are a few details we are looking for in terms of Policy direction around the City location infringements along with some general observations. Namely:

1. The status of the Brief and if any direction has come from its consideration.
I believe the brief is yet to be signed off. There is a ministers meeting today and I anticipate we will have more direction after that.

2. The finalisation of the content of the Information Letter that is set to be sent out.
I will follow up on this.
3. As a position, will we send letters to Interstate drivers along with companies (i.e. hire cars)?
 - a. If the position is a yes, there are several additional steps involved in the process that will take up additional time and resources (also noting the general intent of the letters is as a deterrent measure).
My view is we only send to ACT drives
4. The potential to outsource the printing and envelope stuffing element of the process.
 - a. Daniel has sourced quotes from a company for your consideration.
 - b. In my view, it would speed up the process and allow our workforce to continue on its normal case load while also being cost-effective (please note the cost does not include the postage cost).
Agree in principle but I will chat with the COO. This will depend on what direction we get on sending the letters.
5. Ceasing the disabling of the export file process for Xilium to rego.act on 21 June 2021.
 - a. With the process having been disabled for over a week, there is a risk of infringement notice offences being sent outside of the legislated timeframes.
 - b. To avoid this, we would likely need to turn the export files back on tomorrow (with the three City locations exempted from that).
 - c. Following on from our conversation, I will discuss with Daniel tomorrow morning concerning ceasing the disabling of the export file process.
Agreed, we should send out infringements for unaffected cameras. However, infringements for city camera locations should not be sent.
6. On the face of it, there doesn't seem to have been a significant reduction in the number of offences committed (the statistics and z score might indicate otherwise).
 - a. Is there another deterrence/education process being considered?
 - b. We are up to 15,569 offences for the three locations (as at about 5pm today).
I will note this and suggest that perhaps a broader campaign needs to occur.

Thanks in advance Giuseppe and of course, more than happy to discuss any aspects further or follow-up on specifics and needed.

Also – thanks to Daniel and the team for all the work done on this to date – I understand we're up to around 1,500 adjudications for the three locations today (I'll confirm the numbers when they come to hand)..

Talk soon.

Rick.

Rick Muir | Director - Compliance

Fair Trading and Regulatory Strategy

Phone: 02 6205 4303 | [Sch 2.2\(a\)\(ii\)](#) | Email: Richard.Muir@act.gov.au

Access Canberra | Chief Minister Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government

GPO Box 158, Canberra City ACT 2601 | www.act.gov.au/accessCBR



Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate**Transport Canberra and City Services Directorate**

To:	Minister for Transport and City Services	Tracking No.: 21/55263
Date:	29/06/2021	
CC:	Minister for Business and Better Regulation	
From:	Director-General, Transport Canberra and City Services Deputy Director-General Transport Canberra and Business Services Chief Operating Officer, Access Canberra	
Subject:	Commencement of 40km/h speed zone enforcement along Northbourne Avenue	
Critical Date:	01/07/2021	
Critical Reason:	To commence limited speed enforcement activity to support the new 40km/h speed zones.	

Recommendations

That you:

- **Note** the contents of this brief.

 **Noted / Please Discuss**

- **Agree** to the limited enforcement of the new 40km/h speed limit in the identified zones (Civic, Northbourne Avenue and Barry Drive)

Except red light offences if possible.

 **Agreed / Not Agreed / Please Discuss**

- **Agree** that full enforcement will commence on 5 July 2021.

 **Agreed / Not Agreed / Please Discuss**

- **Confirm your preferred option for communications and enforcement** as part of the communications strategy.

None

~~Option 1 / Option 2 / Option 3 / Option 4 / Please Discuss~~

Chris Steel MLA



29/6/21

Minister's Feedback

Minister Cheyne is responsible for enforcement so it is her decision and should be briefed accordingly.

We have already communicated publicly on this.

I acknowledge the difficulties of organising a mail out in time.

Background

1. On 23 March 2021, Transport Canberra and City Services implemented a new 40km/h speed zone on Northbourne Avenue, Barry Drive and adjacent streets as part of an initiative to introduce lower speed limits in town centres throughout Canberra.
2. Variable Message Signs ('VMS') were installed in the area two weeks prior to the 40km/h zones being introduced to inform motorists about the upcoming speed zone change. The VMS signs remained in place for an additional two weeks after the completion of the street signage installation to reinforce the new speed limit zone for motorists.
3. You were previously briefed on the staged implementation of the road safety cameras on Northbourne Avenue and Barry Drive.
4. As part of the staged implementation of the new speed limit, the existing road safety cameras on Northbourne Avenue and Barry Drive were adjusted on Monday 21 June 2021 to capture drivers driving at speeds greater than 40km/h.
5. Between 5am Monday 21 June 2021 and 9am Wednesday 23 June 2021, 4,672 vehicles have been detected driving over the new 40km/h speed limit by the road safety cameras located:
 - Southbound on Northbourne Avenue at London Circuit;
 - Northbound on Northbourne Avenue at Barry Drive; and
 - Westbound on Barry Drive at Marcus Clarke Street.
6. Those images have not yet been adjudicated and as such no infringement notices have been issued. Comparatively, the rest of the road safety camera network captured approximately 450 speeding and red light offences in the same period.

Issues

7. Whilst it was anticipated that there would be an increase in speeding offences detected, the actual number of offences captured has exceeded all expectations.
8. Noting that there is a lag period between generation of the infringement notice and postal service of the notice (up to 7 days) individuals may potentially commit multiple offences before receiving the first infringement notice, thus becoming aware of their offending behaviour after having incurred significant financial penalty and demerit points.
9. In light of the numbers of drivers detected exceeding the speed limit in the identified speed zones, you requested that limited enforcement of speeding offences detected by the road safety cameras in this location be undertaken between Monday 21 June 2021 and Monday 5 July 2021.
10. During this period:
 - a. Red light offences detected by these cameras will continue to be enforced.
 - b. Infringement notices will not be issued to drivers detected travelling between 40 km/h and 60 km/h (i.e. the speed between the new speed limit and the previous speed limit).
 - c. Drivers detected travelling at 61 km/h or more above the 40km/h speed limit may be issued with an infringement notice. Enforcement activities will focus on offences where the driver is driving at greater than 30km/h over the speed limit.
 - A driver travelling between 61 km/h and 70 km/h will be exceeding the speed limit by >15km/h but ≤30km/h;
 - A driver travelling between 71 km/h and 85 km/h will be exceeding exceed the speed limit by > 30 but ≤ 45km/h.
 - A driver travelling 86 km/h and above km will be exceeding exceed the speed limit by >45km/h.
11. It is an offence under the *Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act 1999* to drive a motor vehicle furiously, recklessly, or at a speed or in a way that is dangerous to the public, on a road or road related area. In circumstances where a person is driving at a speed that exceeds the speed limit by more than 30% or is driving in a way that puts at risk the safety of a vulnerable road user they have committed an aggravated offence which comes with harsher penalties. Exceeding the new 40km/h speed limit by 30% would mean driving at 52km/h.
12. Under the *Road Transport (General) Withdrawal of Guidelines 2019* speeding offences where the speed limit has been exceeded by greater than 15km/h are generally considered unsuitable for withdrawal.

13. Offences captured since the road safety cameras were switched to the new speed limit have not proceeded to an infringement notice being issued. As such ACT Policing do not have any information to indicate demerit points have been taken for those infringements.

Financial Implications

14. Non issuing of the infringements will result in revenue forgone.
15. A number of the Options present significant resourcing and cost impacts for Access Canberra. Option 4 is the preferred option because of this.

Consultation

Internal

16. Nil.

Cross Directorate

17. This a joint brief from TCCS and Access Canberra.

External

18. ACT Policing have been consulted as the enforcement body for road transport offences.

Work Health and Safety

19. Nil

Benefits/Sensitivities

20. Access Canberra issue and administer the ACT's road transport infringement notice scheme for offences detected by road safety cameras as a delegate of the Chief Police Officer.
21. There is nothing in the ACT's road transport legislation that compels the issuing of an infringement notice for a road transport offence.
22. An infringement notice for a camera detected offences must, under the road transport legislation¹, only be issued to the responsible person for the vehicle within 28 days after the offence has been committed.
23. The *ACT Road Safety Action Plan 2020-2023* ('the Action Plan') includes speeding as a focus area. Speeding is a serious road safety danger, and there continues to be an unacceptable level of speeding in the ACT.
24. Under the speeding focus area, there is an action item to expand and evaluate innovative approaches and measures to reduce speeding and change road user behaviour including possible reforms to the ACT's penalties for exceeding the speed limit and education programs.

¹ Section 13, *Road Transport (Offences) Regulation 2005*
Tracking No.: 21/55263

25. There has been significant media coverage over the past 12 months on the prevalence of speeding in the ACT and the adequacy of the Territory's speeding penalties following various media from ACTP and Minister Gentleman.
26. Option 4 presents the least administrative and legal risks for Access Canberra. Options 2 and 3 present similar legal risks and administrative complexity around offence adjudication and administration. Option 1 (effectively do nothing) is not considered to be a viable option in all the circumstances.

Communications option analysis

27. There are four options available to manage communication with drivers outlined below. The preferred option (Option 4) is to write to all ACT driver licence holders to provide a general reminder of the changed speed limits in the city.

	Option	Benefits	Risks	Costs
1.	<p>No correspondence to any motorist for speeding offences detected during the period 21 June to 5 July 2021.</p> <p>Public information campaign instead.</p> <p>This is not a preferred option as the high volume of offence capture is highly likely to re-present at the end of the period.</p>	Motorists provided with a further two weeks as education period.	Probable that issue will re-present on 5 July when enforcement occurs.	Nil.

	Option	Benefits	Risks	Costs
2.	<p>Correspondence to interim offences. Enforcement of high range. Refer Attachment A</p> <p>This is not a preferred option due to the administrative complexity and risk of incorrect infringement decisions.</p>	Motorists are specifically informed of speeding and have opportunity to amend behaviour.	<p>Manual process of issuing letters. High likelihood of error in adjudicating or issuing infringements. Potential for issuing incorrect infringements increased due to manual process.</p> <p>Possibility that some drivers will be demerit suspended due to multiple offences before the first infringement notice is received.</p>	<p>Additional processing of infringements.</p> <p>The entire infringement team will be diverted to focus on city camera matters.</p> <p>Timeliness for assessing existing disputes and withdrawal requests will be impacted for at least a further 6 weeks and add to the existing backlog.</p> <p>Significant resourcing impact and effort.</p> <p>Staffing cost alone estimated to be ~\$344k.</p> <p>This option doesn't include further cost impacts created due to diversion of resources and subsequent backlog of work activity.</p>
3.	<p>Enforcement of high range infringements. No additional information to low range drivers.</p> <p>This is not a preferred option as the high volume of offence capture is likely to re-present.</p>	Road safety enforcement in place.	<p>Significant volume of infringements issued, possibility that some drivers will be demerit suspended due to multiple offences before the first infringement notice is received.</p> <p>Issue likely to re-occur albeit to a slightly lesser extent than currently.</p>	<p>Additional processing of infringements.</p> <p>Additional effort will be redirected towards identifying higher range offences - the current volume of offences detected is approximately 500% of average volumes.</p> <p>Backlog in BAU and existing infringements will grow albeit at lesser rate than Option 2.</p> <p>Costs to be similar or more than Option 2.</p>

	Option	Benefits	Risks	Costs
4.	Correspondence to all ACT licensed drivers. Refer <u>Attachment B</u> This is the preferred option as the communications are likely to have the most impact with the least risk of administrative error.	General information provided to the community. Most effective way to communicate broadly with Canberrans with an opportunity to promote Vision Zero broader context.	Will take 5-7 days for letters to be received and wording will need to be carefully chosen to avoid potential confusion or concern in the community. Only communicates with ACT licence holders.	Cost of delivery of letters ~\$370k. No ongoing cost for administration and avoided cost of incorrect infringement decisions, disputes or complaints. This option would not divert significant additional resourcing to manage and avoid backlogs of administration.

Communications, media and engagement implications

28. We are also working with your office on sustained communications over a range of paid and earned channels over the next two weeks including radio advertising, social media, VMS and Our Canberra to ensure motorists are aware of the changes.
29. Data on the number of infringements issued is published on data.act.gov.au and it is recommended that future media requests for data be referred through that channel.

Signatory Name: Alison Playford

Phone: 6207 8658

Action Officer: Ben McHugh

Phone: 6205 4105

Attachments

Attachment	Title
Attachment A	Option 2 – Letter targeting speeding drivers
Attachment B	Option 4 – General informational letter

Out of Scope

Out of Scope

From: [Muir, Richard](#)
To: [Mangeruca, Giuseppe](#)
Cc: [Rynehart, Josh](#); [Laundess, Daniel](#); [McPherson, Alex](#)
Subject: Policy Direction re: City Location Infringements
Date: Monday, 28 June 2021 5:16:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[20455A - Access Canberra - Infringement Warning letter mailing - 28.6.21.pdf](#)
[20455 - Access Canberra - Infringement Warning letter mailing - 28.6.21.pdf](#)

OFFICIAL: Sensitive

Hi Giuseppe,

Thanks for the chat earlier – much appreciated.

Following on from that, there are a few details we are looking for in terms of Policy direction around the City location infringements along with some general observations. Namely:

1. The status of the Brief and if any direction has come from its consideration.
2. The finalisation of the content of the Information Letter that is set to be sent out.
3. As a position, will we send letters to Interstate drivers along with companies (i.e. hire cars)?
 - a. If the position is a yes, there are several additional steps involved in the process that will take up additional time and resources (also noting the general intent of the letters is as a deterrent measure).
4. The potential to outsource the printing and envelope stuffing element of the process.
 - a. Daniel has sourced quotes from a company for your consideration.
 - b. In my view, it would speed up the process and allow our workforce to continue on its normal case load while also being cost-effective (please note the cost does not include the postage cost).
5. Ceasing the disabling of the export file process for Xilium to rego.act on 21 June 2021.
 - a. With the process having been disabled for over a week, there is a risk of infringement notice offences being sent outside of the legislated timeframes.
 - b. To avoid this, we would likely need to turn the export files back on tomorrow (with the three City locations exempted from that).
 - c. Following on from our conversation, I will discuss with Daniel tomorrow morning concerning ceasing the disabling of the export file process.
6. On the face of it, there doesn't seem to have been a significant reduction in the number of offences committed (the statistics and z score might indicate otherwise).
 - a. Is there another deterrence/education process being considered?
 - b. We are up to 15,569 offences for the three locations (as at about 5pm today).

Thanks in advance Giuseppe and of course, more than happy to discuss any aspects further or follow-up on specifics and needed.

Also – thanks to Daniel and the team for all the work done on this to date – I understand we're up to around 1,500 adjudications for the three locations today (I'll confirm the numbers when they come to hand)..

Talk soon.

Rick.

Rick Muir | Director - Compliance

Fair Trading and Regulatory Strategy

Phone: 02 6205 4303 | [Sch 2.2\(a\)\(ii\)](#) | Email: Richard.Muir@act.gov.au

Access Canberra | Chief Minister Treasury and Economic Development Directorate | ACT Government

GPO Box 158, Canberra City ACT 2601 | www.act.gov.au/accessCBR

