**18/676: Government response to Domestic Animals (Dangerous Dogs) Amendment Bill (Private Members Bill) 2018**

|  |
| --- |
| **Summary of Impacts** |
| * The preferred option will result in no changes to the *Domestic Animals Act 2000* (the Act) to enable the Government to continue to achieve best-practice community safety and animal welfare outcomes.
* Continue to build community confidence in the Government’s ability to manage and prioritise dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs and animal welfare.
* Keep the ACT in line with best practice dog management.
* Maintain the compliance and enforcement provisions in the Act to ensure dog owners and carers who breach the Act are held accountable without impacting on compliant dog owners and carers.
* Uphold the human rights of dog owners through their right of appeal (right to a fair trial).
 |

*Key to impacts: Red – negative, Blue - neutral and Green - positive.*

**Social**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Community and individual health/safety** | * Retain the ability for Domestic Animal Services (DAS) and the Registrar to uphold community safety as a priority over lower-risk cases.
* Retain the ability for DAS and the Registrar to uphold animal welfare outcomes and focus on high risk cases.
* Prevent a loss in community confidence in the Government’s ability to manage and prioritise dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs and animal welfare.
* Ensure an outcomes-focused approach is taken, where proportionate action is taken compared to harm.
* Ensure people can continue to enjoy an active lifestyle with pets as part of the community.
 |
| **Justice and rights** | * Appeal rights will be maintained by retaining existing laws on appealing to ACAT regarding a dangerous dog decision. This supports the human right to a fair trial.
* The Bill threatens these appeal rights; maintaining them contributes to a transparent and robust process for dangerous dog decisions.
 |

**Economic**

Nil impact

**Environmental**

Nil impact